

I'm going to start off with a joke that's funny/not funny. "What's the difference between a state/federal judge and God? God doesn't think he's a state/federal judge." I've tried my hardest to give the world's largest crime syndicate (hereinafter "WLCS") the benefit of the doubt. However, it has proved time and again that *every single court here in Amerika is corrupt as hell!* I've followed the rules and laws. The WLCS—once more—has not. Its judges treat rules and law as mere suggestions when inconvenient to themselves or their minions and refuse to work towards justice. Instead, they deliberately fight *against* justice. The pattern repeats itself. Once or twice *could* be considered a mistake. **100-plus times** cannot. Members of the WLCS have **intentionally and concertedly** tried to stymie, mislead, and block justice.¹ Committing crimes all day long is what the WLCS does best. It is now standard operating procedure, and **I'm about as pissed as anyone can possibly get at you criminal, satanic phucs (hereinafter CSPs)!!!**

On April 1, 2025, the California Superior Syndicate (hereinafter "CSS") filed my amended complaint, which was done pursuant to CA Code – CCP § 472, "A party may amend its pleading once without leave of the court at any time before the answer, demurrer, or motion to strike is filed...." According to Rule 3.110(b), I had thirty days to serve the approximate 70,304 new defendants: "When the complaint is amended to add a defendant, the added defendant must be served and proof of service must be filed within **30 days after the filing of the amended complaint**" (strongest emphasis added). This means I had *at least* until May 1, 2025, to serve the unnamed defendant-criminals.

I called the CSS twice prior to April 15, 2025. On both occasions, Satan's little helper told me I could call in to the April 28 "hearing" using my phone. Neither criminal told me I needed Microsoft Teams. I thought it was going to be a standard telephonic hearing just like during the bankruptcy I was forced to file because of the fraudulent debt defendant-criminal Joseph Leonard Michaud (a.k.a KOTD) orchestrated against me.

On April 28, 2025, I spent over half an hour trying to download the Microsoft Teams application while on the other side of the continent where my internet connection was marginal at very best and nearly non-existent at worst. Had I known I needed this app, I would have downloaded it *before* I left California on April 15, 2025. And, of course, the CSS prevents "test driving" it beforehand by forcing

¹ The relentless violation of rules and law by the syndicate shows without a doubt that its criminal elements *know* they cannot beat me fair and square and have to resort to crime and corruption in order to take me down. They have to violate civil and criminal law in order to do so. **Moreover, I don't like having more than \$1,000,000 in money and property stolen from my family and me by you legalized criminal satanic phucs!!!**

litigants to wait until the day of the hearing. Well, by then it's too late. Finally, nothing on the CSS's related website page mentions anything whatsoever about the specifics for remote attendance, specifically any requirement for Microsoft Teams. See exhibit "A."

On April 28, 2025, after struggling to jump through all the hoops, I waited patiently for over an hour to present my case. Criminal-Vicencia knew I was on the conference call along with over a dozen other waiting participants, but he ignored me anyway. Suddenly, without warning, everything stopped. I had no idea what happened. Did he and other CSPs break for lunch? Was he coming back? Did my connection fail? Since I did not know the answers to these and other questions—although I will make them obvious shortly—I used the chat feature to send multiple messages. None were returned. Ultimately, instead of being able to spend an extra 1.5+ hours with my elderly mother—who I may never again see on this planet—I wasted that time waiting to be heard in the CSS's *wildly* corrupt court.

Rather than being outraged by the facts and evidence in my case that reveal *massive* crime and corruption and the violation of no less than sixty statutory laws (twenty-two of them being felonies), criminal-Vicencia decided to be complicit in the misconduct. Again on April 28, 2025, and in his enthusiasm to protect his criminal friends, he corruptly dismissed my case days early. More than 99.997 percent of it should have been still standing as of May 1, 2025. In fact, I had two hearings scheduled for May 6, the earliest date possible, one of which addressed a motion regarding exactly how thousands of defendants/criminals could be served. I'm almost certain KOTD called criminal-Vicencia—and committed more felonies alongside him—as he's done on several occasions with other so-called judges and lawyers without black gowns in *prior* related matters in the People's Republic of Massachusetts (at least three or four times there), in Rogue Island, and here in BIG Blue (California). I have mountains of evidence that he's made such nefarious phone calls and, in doing so, committed *numerous* felonies multiple times. *This is not conjecture. This is fact.*

The first and last "hearing" was held on April 28, 2025. This hearing is one that should have never been held in the first place. More than 73 percent of the named defendants had already been served process pursuant to my correspondence with the chief clerk, Mr. David Slayton. One presumably residing in Riverside County was supposed to be served by the sheriff's department, which to this date and as far as I know, has not completed service. More than 99.997 percent of the total defendants were added to my

first amended complaint (hereinafter “FAC”) filed on April 1, 2025. This means that a *minimum* of 99.997 percent of my case should have still been intact on May 1, 2025. This is clearly *not* what happened because criminal-Vicencia illegally and corruptly dismissed it before that date.

Looking back at the facts and evidence, it is crystal clear what happened. I tried to file the FAC on March 28, 2025. However, the CSS rejected it and did not accept it until April 1, 2025. See exhibit “B.” The WLCS, CSS division, had a plan. This plan was hatched well before April 28, 2025. Apparently, the WLCS tried to wipe out all traces of its crimes by falsifying court records—once again. This has happened in every court in every state in every case over the last decade, and ***I’m freaking sick and tired of it!!!*** The CSS division claims that it accepted the March 28 FAC filing on that day. This is a lie. See exhibit “C.” This image shows no rejection of the FAC whatsoever, but the evidence reveals it was indeed obviously rejected. See exhibit “D.” The falsely portrayed clean filing of the FAC without rejection contrasts greatly with the original complaint filing. The original filing was rejected several times. This is reflected in the “record.” See exhibit “E.” The CSPs weren’t even smart enough to doctor the original complaint filing activity log like they did with the amended complaint filing activity log to remove the rejections so the first would *at least* appear consistent with the second.

The final piece of the puzzle completes the picture. The “record” indicates that on April 1, 2025, the CSS on its “own motion” decided to move the “hearing” from April 21 to 28. See exhibit “F.” Since the CSS had just received and accepted that day the FAC, which revealed at that time the true names of the criminals whom I was suing—no doubt some of criminal-Vicencia’s friends—because it replaced the “Does” with actual names, the CSPs had to contrive a way to flush the complaint. Since I had called the CSS twice before April 15 and the CSPs knew I could not possibly attend the hearing in person, they decided to push the date, but not so much that I would be back in the area, and just ignore me if I happened to appear remotely, which I did. This way, their alleged 30-day service window since the date of FAC “filing” would be met—*if the FAC had been recorded on March 28, 2025, which it was not*—and they could dismiss the case and meet Rule 3.110(b) requirements. With the date moved, criminal-Vicencia could now conveniently dismiss the case as he had planned to do all along—or at least after I filed the FAC and he was aware that I was suing his friends and the entire WLCS, federal division.

Incidentally, there was no apparent hurry to hold a hearing when the complaint was first filed.

Party names were essentially all redacted then. The hearing was originally scheduled *seventeen days after* the perceived final due date for service. However, once the defendant-criminals' names were known as I provided in the FAC, there was an extreme urgency to dispose of the case—the earliest day it could have been by law—*if* the law had been followed. The CSPs either didn't know or had forgotten that a litigant could register for automated email notifications regarding case activity. Without my email evidence or the fact that they didn't erase the *one* entry that showed the FAC had been rejected (exhibit "D"), their plan might have been carried out perfectly. Thankfully for me and the American public, their nefarious plan has been exposed.

By committing all the above crimes—and probably more—criminal-Vicencia revealed himself to be a criminal according to 18 U.S. Code § 4 and *Branzburg v. Hayes* as I proved in the amended complaint, so I thus will not repeat the proof here. He also violated 18 U.S. Code § 1512(c)(2) and Cal. Pen. Code § 96.5. As such, he should have turned himself in to the authorities for criminal prosecution as I instructed him in the order to the court I filed on May 17, 2025, which, interestingly, is nowhere to be found in the court "record" despite me not getting any indication that the CSS rejected it. Justice must be served—not *in*justice. I will bet both my boyz there's not a snowball's chance he will do this—nor will any of you other criminals in the WLCS reprimand or prosecute him as you should. Furthermore, I had offered my services to move the CSS into the 21st Century at no charge. My *free* services would have saved the courts—and thus the taxpayers—thousands, or perhaps millions, of dollars over the years. That's all off the table now.

What he and other criminals like him and you do is, in one way, worse than Hitler's atrocities. It is worse because Hitler had a warped, deranged ideology. On the contrary, all the heinous things that you legalized criminals do is far worse because they are done in the name of "justice."² I am not saying any of this lightly. I have "experienced" well over 100 glorified, unelected lawyers in black gowns. Only about eleven or twelve have *not* behaved criminally—at least not that I can prove in my cases. This means I can say—at the 95% confidence level with less than a 5% margin of error—that about 92% of

² "The greatest lies are told in the name of truth. The greatest crimes are committed in the name of justice." — Jim Garrison, District Attorney of Orleans Parish, Louisiana (1967)

them are truly criminals.³

I know filing this complaint is a total, complete f*cking waste of time, but I'm doing it anyway. Criminal-judge Michael Paul Vicencia has committed several crimes, which include, but are not limited to, two federal felonies (18 U.S. Code §§ 4 and 1512) and a state misdemeanor (California Penal Code § 96.5). This CSP blocked my case, 25LBCV00245 (viewable at www.stloiyf.com/case.html). One reason may be that KOTD called him after KOTD was officially served process on April 10, 2025, and was clearly made aware of the lawsuit. KOTD would have had plenty of time to call CSP Vicencia and commit more felonies alongside him as KOTD has done numerous times in prior related matters. Regardless, *multiple* people have committed *multiple* crimes.

I've filed dozens of complaints against lawyers with and without black gowns nationwide. All of you CSPs have filed them under "G." By not doing a damned thing—other than flushing this complaint like I'm certain will happen—you will be once again facilitating the crimes that have been committed against me and *thousands* of other similar victims nationwide and will also become a criminal yourself. You all should be rotting in hell where you belong.

Pursuant to CA Code Civ. Proc. § 2015.5(a), I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 1, 2025, in California



Phuc Ewe Very Much,
Thomas Scott
a.k.a. Clyde Shelton
thomas@stloiyf.com

“When the legislative or executive functionaries act unconstitutionally, they are responsible to the people in their elective capacity. The exemption of the judges from that is quite dangerous enough. I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society, but the people themselves.” — **Thomas Jefferson**

³ The margin of error for a sample size of 145 glorified lawyers in black gowns of 31,700 total here in Amerika at a 95% confidence level is only about 5%. See <https://www.qualtrics.com/experience-management/research/margin-of-error/>

lacc.lacourt.org

Bookmarks

LACourtConnect
A new way to appear remotely.

Case Number Search

Calendar Search

Name Search

My Checked-in Hearings

Resources

Video Overview

Quick Reference Guide

Technical Specifications

Have Questions?

Welcome to LACourtConnect Same Day Check-In

Beginning June 2, 2025, LACC 3.0 will start accepting same day check in for remote appearance Criminal proceedings held in the following court locations: Antelope Valley, Catalina, Compton, East Los Angeles, Inglewood, Long Beach, Pomona, or Torrance. Additional Criminal Court locations will be phased in through the month of June 2025. For additional information please [click here](#).

Beginning June 12, 2025, LACC 3.0 will start accepting same day check in for remote appearance Appellate proceedings.

To initiate the check-in process, search for the case number and select the scheduled hearing.

Only hearings scheduled for today are available for check-in. If your hearing is not today, please return the day of your hearing and check in prior to the time of the hearing.

Search by Case Number


Case Number

Enter a case number to get hearings for today.

Type here to search

6:02 PM
5/30/2025

Clearly from this screenshot of the relevant page on the CSS's website, no indication whatsoever is given that Microsoft Teams is needed to attend hearings remotely. Also note that the CSS blocks litigants from determining this until the day of the hearing.



Note that, unlike the original filing of the complaint, the **actual** email history of the rejected first amended complaint filings does not reflect what is in the court "record." It has been sanitized to remove all the rejections in order to try to hide the criminals' crimes so they could **attempt** to pull off their scheme unnoticed by claiming that they accepted my filing of 3-28 when, in reality, they rejected it before accepting the changes they told me to make and truly filed it on 4-1-25.

efile.bythebookattorneyservice.com/ca/#eFiling_DisplayEditFiling;filingId=11881252

Settings Reports Account Support Tom Scott Logout

Envelope 25LA00582992

Created by Tom Scott

Filing Activity Log - Exchange of messages with the court filing manager.

Message Type	Timestamp	Court Response
create filing copy	03/28/2025 02:22 PM PDT	Filing copied from 11876922
submission	03/28/2025 02:37 PM PDT	Received and assigned reference number 25LA00582992
filing fees calculation	03/28/2025 02:37 PM PDT	
receipt	04/01/2025 02:54 PM PDT	Amended Complaint, Notice of E-Filing Confirmation, RECEIPT
receipt - fees confirmed	04/01/2025 02:54 PM PDT	Amended Complaint, Notice of E-Filing Confirmation, RECEIPT
receipt - fees confirmed	04/01/2025 02:58 PM PDT	Amended Complaint, Notice of E-Filing Confirmation, RECEIPT

1:29 PM 5/30/2025

This screenshot, taken on 5-30-25, clearly shows that all the rejections have been sanitized from the "record" in order to make it appear that the syndicate had accepted the FAC I filed originally on 3-28-25, when, in fact, these criminals did not. They rejected it on that date and did not accept it until 4-1-25 after I made certain changes.

efile.bythebookattorneyservice.com/ca/#eFiling_DisplayEditFiling:filingId=11876922

Bookmarks

E-Filing Settings Reports Account Support Tom Scott

By the Book Attorney Service

Filing 11876922 - Rejected

Discard Save Draft

File on Existing Case - Envelope Number 25LA00577039

Last Update: 03/28/2025 1:00

1. Select Case - Choose your case, or click add a case to retrieve your case from the court's system.

Court	South District Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse Department S26
Case No.	25LBCV00245
Case Title	THOMAS SCOTT vs DOE 1, et al.

2. Add Documents - Define, select, and upload the documents that make up your filing.

Document Type	Document Description	File Name	Icon Key
<u>Amended Complaint</u>	Not Applicable	complain...links.pdf (831 KB, 57 pgs.) ✓	Uploading
Request to Waive Court Fees	Not Applicable	Order_on...ourt_.pdf (791 KB, 4 pgs.) ✓	Recognizing Text
			Text Search

Add Document

3. Additional Info - Enter any additional information that is required, indicated by a *, for this filing type.

Amended Complaint (complaint w links.pdf)

Type here to search

5:04 PM 5/30/2025

A screenshot showing that the FAC had originally been rejected by the CSS. Pertinent features corroborating my truthful statements have been underlined. Again, the date/time for the image is shown in case the WLCS tries to falsify courts records for the nth time.

Filing Activity Log - Exchange of messages with the court filing manager.

Message Type	Timestamp	Court Response
submission	01/30/2025 05:02 PM PST	Received and assigned reference number 25LA00189220
filing fees calculation	01/30/2025 05:02 PM PST	
rejection	01/31/2025 08:34 AM PST	Complaint, Request to Waive Court Fees, Notice of E-Filing Rejection - Reject Reason: Form is obsolete or does not conform to CRC Rule 2.100-2.141 Reject Reason Other: Form FW-001 was revised as of April 1, 2024. Please use the current mandatory version to resubmit. Party names must match on portal entries.
submission	01/31/2025 03:03 PM PST	Received and assigned reference number 25LA00196057
filing fees calculation	01/31/2025 03:03 PM PST	
rejection	02/03/2025 10:13 AM PST	Complaint, Request to Waive Court Fees, Notice of E-Filing Rejection - Reject Reason Other: Party names entered into portal must match the party names on documents.
submission	02/03/2025 03:26 PM PST	Received and assigned reference number 25LA00206364
filing fees calculation	02/03/2025 03:26 PM PST	
rejection	02/03/2025 03:33 PM PST	Complaint, Request to Waive Court Fees, Notice of E-Filing Rejection - Reject Reason Other: Missing filers information on top left hand corner.
submission	02/03/2025 09:54 PM PST	Received and assigned reference number 25LA00209492
filing fees calculation	02/03/2025 09:54 PM PST	
receipt	02/04/2025 08:38 AM PST	Complaint, Request to Waive Court Fees, Notice of E-Filing Confirmation, Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court), Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case, Alternate Dispute Resolution Packet
receipt - fees confirmed	02/04/2025 08:38 AM PST	Complaint, Request to Waive Court Fees, Notice of E-Filing Confirmation, Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court), Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case, Alternate Dispute Resolution Packet, RECEIPT

Note the many rejections shown with the filing of the original complaint. Note also the acceptance date after hours on 2-3-25 and early on 2-4-25, which is reflected in the syndicate's online record and is the actual filing date. Finally, note the timestamp of this screenshot in case the CSPs decide to falsify court records--in this matter--yet again.

Department S26

- 4/14/2025 Hearing on Motion for Protective Order scheduled for 05/06/2025 at 08:30 AM in Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse at Department S26
- 4/7/2025 Summons on Complaint (1st); Issued and Filed by: Thomas Scott (Plaintiff); As to: Lawrence James Christopher VanDyke (Defendant); Gary Allan Spraker (Defendant); Holly Aiyisha Thomas (Defendant) et al.
- 4/1/2025 Minute Order (Court Order)
- 4/1/2025 Certificate of Mailing for (Court Order) of 04/01/2025; Filed by: Clerk
- 4/1/2025 On the Court's own motion, Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to File Proof of Service scheduled for 04/21/2025 at 08:30 AM in Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse at Department S26 Not Held - Advanced and Continued - by Court was rescheduled to 04/28/2025 08:30 AM
- 3/28/2025 Amended Complaint (1st); Filed by: Thomas Scott (Plaintiff); As to: Lawrence James Christopher VanDyke (Defendant); Eric David Miller (Defendant); Gary Allan Spraker (Defendant) et al.
- 2/4/2025 Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to File Proof of Service scheduled for 04/28/2025 at 08:30 AM in Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse at Department S26
- 2/4/2025 Case assigned to Hon. Michael P. Vicencia in Department S26 Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse
- 2/4/2025 Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court); Signed and Filed by: Clerk; As to: Thomas Scott (Plaintiff)
- 2/4/2025 Updated -- Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court); Status Date changed from 02/04/2025 to 02/04/2025
- 2/4/2025 Notice of Case Management Conference; Filed by: Clerk
- 2/4/2025 Case Management Conference scheduled for 07/07/2025 at 08:30 AM in Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse at Department S26
- 2/4/2025 Order to Show Cause Failure to File Proof of Service; Filed by: Clerk
- 2/4/2025 Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to File Proof of Service scheduled for 04/21/2025 at 08:30 AM in Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse at Department S26
- 2/3/2025 Complaint; Filed by: Thomas Scott (Plaintiff); As to: Doe 1 (Defendant); Doe 2 (Defendant); Doe 3 (Defendant)
- 2/3/2025 Alternate Dispute Resolution Packet; Filed by: Clerk
- 2/3/2025 Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case; Filed by: Clerk

In hindsight, it is clear what the criminals had done. They accepted and filed the FAC on April 1, 2025. Since they knew I had a "hearing" coming up on 4-21-25 and that my first attempted filing of the FAC was on 3-28-25, they thought that if they could move the date to 4-28-25 when I would still be away, they would be able to dismiss the case in one fell swoop. I called Satan's little helper twice before 4-15-25 about remote attendance since I would be on the other side of the continent at that time, so they all knew I could not be physically present. Conditions were thus ideal to just ignore me at the virtual "hearing" and falsely say I did not want to prosecute--that I was absolutely, perfectly fine with having these **CSPs steal over \$1,000,000 in money and property from my family and me**. Several inconsistencies exist in the docket. One is a date change from a given date to that same date. Another is the scheduling of the 4-28-25 hearing on 2-4-25.....despite the entry above it stating the order was made on 4-1-25. Clearly, when doctoring court records, care needs to be taken to hide criminal activity. Such care was not observed here.